

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL**

Writ Petition No. 589 (SS) of 2007

Padam Lal,
Supply Clerk,
District Supply Office,
New Tehri,
District – Tehri Garhwal.

.....**Petitioner.**

Versus

1. State of Uttarakhand through its Commissioner / Secretary, Food and Civil Supplies Department, Dehradun.
2. Commissioner, Garhwal Division, Pauri, District – Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand.
3. District Supply Officer, Tehri Garhwal, District – Tehri Garhwal, Uttarakhand.

.....**Respondents**

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J

Heard Smt. Sanjana Madan, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri H.M. Raturi, learned Standing Counsel for State of Uttarakhand on behalf of respondents.

Learned counsel for both the parties are agree to decide the writ petition at its admission stage itself.

Petitioner, who is working as Supply Clerk in the office of respondent no.3 has filed this writ petition for the following reliefs:

- a. *Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of Mandamus commanding the respondent no. 2 to decide the representation dated 16.08.2002 made by the petitioner at the earliest.*

- b. Issue any other writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble*
- . Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances*
- of the case be passed in favour of the petitioner.*
- c. Award the cost of writ petition to the petitioner.*

The stand of the petitioner is that he was awarded adverse entry in the service record for the year 2001 – 02. Against the adverse entry awarded to him, he has moved representation on 16.08.2002 before respondent no. 2 i.e. Commissioner, Garhwal Division, District – Pauri Garhwal, which is still pending.

During the course of argument, petitioner has confined his prayer that writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to the respondent no. 2 to take decision on the representation of the petitioner at the earliest, so that future promotion of the petitioner may not be effected.

The prayer is innocuous. Accordingly, I direct the respondent no. 2 to take decision on the representation of the petitioner dated 16.08.2002 filed against the adverse entry for the year 2001 – 02 within a period of six weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

With this direction, the writ petition as well as stay application is finally disposed of. No order as to costs.

(M.M. Ghildiyal, J)

May 25, 2007
SKSHARMA